

Universität Konstanz · Fach XXX · 78457 Konstanz

Frau Karolin Wurster  
Zentrales Prüfungsamt  
Universität Konstanz

Im Hause

**Prof. Dr. Max Mustermann**

FB Informatik & Informationswissenschaft

Raum XXX  
Universitätsstraße 10  
78464 Konstanz  
+49 7531 / 88 - XXXX  
Fax: +49 7531 / 88 - XXXX

max.mustermann@uni.kn  
<http://XXXX.uni.kn>

24. 8. 2023

## Gutachten zur Masterarbeit


Seite: 1 / 4

Name: **Candidate Name**

Thema: **Title Row 1**  
**Title Row 2**

Note: **sehr gut (1,3)**      Unterschrift:

Der Evaluierungsbogen für die detaillierte Begründung der Note findet sich auf den folgenden Seiten.



## Master Thesis Evaluation Form

Student name: Candidate Name  
Student ID number: 01 / xxxxxx  
Title of the thesis: Title Row 1  
Title Row 2  
☒ First Reviewer: Name of first reviewer  
☐ Second Reviewer: Name of second reviewer

### Introduction

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

*Does the introduction motivate the goals of the thesis and explain the context of the work? Does it point to existing solutions and their limitations? Is the research question formulated clearly? Does the contribution become clear?*

Comments for criterion A. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### Related Work / Background

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

*Does the thesis describe relevant related work and relevant background information in appropriate number and detail?*


Comments for criterion B. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### Theoretical Analysis and Practical Description

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

*Is the proposed solution to the problem (e.g. theoretical approach, experiment, implementation) well described? Are proofs and theoretical analysis carried out carefully?*

Comments for part C. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.



### Evaluation and Discussion

*Have sensible, well-justified experiments been performed? Are the results compared to the results of similar approaches? Are the obtained results discussed with respect to the goal of the thesis?*

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

Comments for part D. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### Conclusion

*Does the conclusion state the results concisely and does it explain their significance? Do the results justify the conclusions? Does it restate limitations and open questions?*

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☐ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

Comments for part E. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### Formal Aspects and Writing Style

*Is the thesis well written, easy to read and is appropriate wording used? Are graphs, tables, formulas etc. well displayed? Is the overall thesis and the single chapters and paragraphs well-structured? Are all references cited correctly and is the bibliography formatted properly?*

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐


Comments for part F. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### Practical Skills and Working Style

*Does the thesis reflect an independent working style? Does it reflect critical and original thinking? Was the developed code correct, documented and efficient?*

Very good      Fail      n/a  
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Comments for part G. Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.



## Final Comments

Final comments. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

**Grade:**    very good (sehr gut):    ☐ 1,0    ☒ **1,3**  
                  good (gut):                    ☐ 1,7    ☐ 2,0    ☐ 2,3  
                  satisfactory (befriedigend): ☐ 2,7    ☐ 3,0    ☐ 3,3  
                  sufficient (ausreichend):    ☐ 3,7    ☐ 4,0  
                  fail (nicht ausreichend):    ☐ 5,0

Date: 24. 8. 2023

Reviewer signature: